## California CHESS Journal



## Another Year of the CalChess State Scholastic Championship Survived

More than 900 players - plus worried parents, bored siblings, good and bad coaches, and a harried tournament staff - survived the 35th CalChess State Scholastic Chess Championship held April 16-18 in Santa Clara.

According to organizer Salman Azhar, 900+ players represented an increase in attendance of about 100, following a few years of decline which followed the national economy, he said.
"Two main things affect attendance," said Azhar, "how much the coaches encourage them to play in afterschool classes and in private lessons, and how much the parents are motivated. It's a huge investment for parents."

The tournament was back in the Santa Clara Convention Center after five years at other venues. "It's a much better fit," said Azhar. "It's centrally located, with free parking and a
hotel next door." Azhar, who is committed to running the tournament through 2012 said his intent is to keep the state champion at the Santa Clara site.

CalChess president Tom Langland served as chief director for the first time, after longtime chief John McCumiskey had already committed his Sunday. "Tom did a very good job on the floor and on the computers," said Azhar.

## CalChess President's Message



Welcome to the rebirth of the California Chess Journal, the official magazine of CalChess. The award-winning Frisco Del Rosario has returned as editor and we look forward to working with him for future issues. To help support this effort, CalChess membership (\$5.00) will now be required at any state championship event.
While the Bay Area has always been a hotbed of chess activities, recently we have seen the resurgence of larger prize fund tournaments including several $\$ 10,000+$ tournaments organized by Salman Azhar and even a $\$ 40,000$ tournament by Bill Goichberg! I hope you will keep on supporting these tournaments so they can endure! Our local talented scholastic players continue to achieve remarkable results in national events. At the National Elementary Championship, Daniel Liu was the K-6 co-champion, and Allen Beilen received the top prize in the $\mathrm{K}-5$ section. Joanna Liu helped Weibel Elementary reel in the team co-championship in the K-3 division, and also won the under-8 division in the USCF All-Girls Nationals. Steven Zierk will be playing in the US Junior Invitational and Yian Liou will participate in the US Cadet Championship.

On the adult front, three local players were invited to play in the US Championship: Sam Shankland, Jesse Kraai and Vinay Bhat. The Mechanics Institute is gearing up to host an invitational norm tournament. I hope to see many of you at the US Open in Irvine while CalChess works to bring similar USCF National events to the Bay Area. The entire CalChess board is very interested in hearing from chess players as to how we can improve our chess community, while Ruth Haring is a member of the USCF Executive Board and your input can make changes happen!
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# Zierk Wins N. California Qualifier to Denker Tourney of HS Champions 

Los Gatos High School junior Steven Zierk scored 6-o at the N. California regional tournament held March 19-21 in San Jose. Zierk earned the right to represent CalChess in the Denker Tournament of High School Champions at the US Open in August. Zierk, a USCF senior master, finished a full point ahead of second place in the 51-player field.

Qualification for the Denker is traditionally tied to the state 9-12 championship, but this year the CalChess event conflicted with the national high school tournament.

White: Evan Sandberg (2193)
Black: Steven Zierk (2420)
French Winawer
Notes by SM Steven Zierk

| 1. | e 4 | e 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | d 4 | d 5 |
| 3. | Nc 3 | Bb 4 |
| 4. | e 5 | c 5 |
| 5. | a 3 | $\mathrm{Bxc} 3+$ |
| 6. | bxc 3 | Qa 5 !? |

An unorthodox line which has proven successful for me in past games.
7. Bd2 Qa4
8. Qb1 c4
9. h4

Since Black has the better pawn structure on the queenside, White's normal plan is to expand on the kingside with his own pawns.

## 9. ... <br> Nc6 <br> 10. g3?!

This is too slow. White wants to play h5 as soon as possible, and only then g3 and Bh3.

| 10. | .. | Bd7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11. | Bh3 | O-O-O |
| 12. | Ne2 |  |

h5 now was still better.

```
12. ... f6
13. f4 Nge7
14. 0-0?!
```

Perhaps g4 was better, to prevent black from closing the kingside too much.

## 14. ... f5 <br> 15. Kg2

With the idea of $\mathrm{Ng} 1-\mathrm{f} 3$ and perhaps 95 .

## 15. ... <br> Qa5

Now 16. Ng1? Would be answered with ...Nxd4 winning a pawn, so Black prepares his queen transfer to the queenside with tempo.

```
16. Qd1 Rdg8
17. Ng1 Be8
```




## 18. $\quad \mathrm{g} 4$

Playing for some activity. 18. Nf3?! Would be bad in view of ...Bh5 when black's knights are far superior in the closed position.

> 18. ... Bg6

Black does not want to give white activity with $18 . .$. fxg4? 19. Bxg4 Bd7 (else f5 and white's pieces break loose) 20. f5! (anyway!) exf5 21. Bf3 and White has decent chances due to his protected passer on e5 and open space for his pieces, e.g. consider Ne2-f4 and Rg1. If Black plays ...h6 to prepare ...g5, then h 5 ! prevents getting connected passed pawns, or if ...g6 to prepare ...h6, then Bg5 or Bh6 both suffice. In both this note

# Senior Master Annotates 

and the next, the active position is shown to be more important than the pawn deficit.

## 19. g5?!

These past couple moves have been the key moves of the game, and white is fatally selling himself short on the kingside. He needed to try for counterplay with 19. h 5 !? fxg4 20. hxg6 gxh3+ 21. Nxh3. Then after 21...hxg6 22. Qg4 plus 23. Ng5, or 21...Nxg6 22. f5, White has activity.
19. ... h6


Black ensures an open h-file on the kingside, because he can control it.

## 20. Qe1?!

20. h 5 was the last chance for activity. 20...hxg5! 21. hxg6 g4 22. Bxg4 hxg4 23. Qxg4 Nf5 and Black is better but White still has play. The game continuation leaves him with nothing at all. Although it seems as though White is making a lot of inaccuracies, this is misleading; moves 10,19 , and 20 all stem from a failure to play h5, the key kingside move for White in this line.

## 20. <br> hxg5

The key moves of the game (14-20) are over and Black has come out on top. White has little activity, while Black prepares for the decisive invasion by making threats on both sides.

## 21. hxg5 Rh7?!

Black should play ...Qa4, to prevent some white activity on the a3-f8 diagonal via $f 4$.

## 22. Nf3?!

White fails to play a4. Both sides repeat this mistake for the next several moves.

| 22. | .. | Rgh8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 23. | Rh1 | Bh5 |
| 24. | Nh4 | g6 |
| 25. | Be3 | Qa4! |

At last. Now White's position is hopeless - he has no counterplay on the queenside due to his immobile pawn structure, while Black decides when the h -file will open. All White can do is wait.

| 26. | Ra2 | Kc7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27. | Bf2 | Nc8 |
| 28. | Kg1 | Nb6 |
| 29. | Bg2 | a5 |
| 30. | Qb1 | Na 7 |
| 31. | Be1 | Qc6 |
| 32. | Nf3 | Na4 |
| 33. | Bd2 | Nb5 |
| 34. | Qe1 | Kb6 |
| 35. | Ra1 | Ka6 |
| 36. | Kf2 | b6 |
| 37. | Ra2 | Qe8 |
| 38. | Ra1 | Qe7 |
| 39 | Ra2 | Bxf3 |

Now that Black's position has been strengthened to the ut-
most, the decisive blow is struck and the invasion begins.

| 40. | Rxh7 | Qxh7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 41. | Kxf3 | Qh5+ |
| 42. | Ke3 | Qg4 |
| 43. | Bf3 | Rh3 |


44. Qf1

Qg3?
A serious oversight. Black was so preoccupied with preventing any white activity he completely missed 44... Rxf3+! 45. Qxf3 Qg1+ and 46... Qb1.

## 45. Be1 Qh2 <br> 46. Qf2 Naxc3?!

Trying to prevent counterplay prevented Black from seeing a nice win: 46...Rxf3+! 47. Kxf3 (47. Qxf3 Nbxc3 48. Bxc3 Nxc3 49. Ra1 Qxc2 and White's pieces are stuck - the queen due to ...Qe2 and ...Qe4, the rook due to ...Nd1. White can only play Ra1-g1-h1 as Black carries out ...b4-b3-b2-b1Q) 47... Qh1+ 48. Ke3 Naxc3 49. Ra1 Nxa3!! and White is helpless (the main threat is ...Qe4+ Kd2 Qxc2+ Ke3 Qd3\#). For instance, 50. Rxa3 Nd1+ or 50. Bxc3 Qe4+ 51. Kd2 Qxc2+ followed by ...Qxc3+ and ...Qxa1. Still, the game continuation is decisive.
47. Bxc3 Nxc3
48. Qxh2 Rxh2
49. Ra1 b5
...Rxc2 also won, but Black is sticking to his plan of preventing any white activity following Rh1.
50. Rh1 Rxh1
51. Bxh1 b4
52. axb4 axb4
53. Kd2 Kb6
54. Bf3

With White's first strong threat: Bh5.

| 54. | ... | $\mathrm{Ne} 4+$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 55. | Kc 1 | Ng 3 |
| 56. | c 3 | b 3 |

White's pieces are caged and tied down, and it only remains to find the coup de grâce.

| 57. | Kb1 | Kc7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 58. | Kc1 | Kd7 |
| 59. | Kb2 | Nh5! |
|  | $0-1$ |  |



After 60. Bxh5 gxh5, Black can stop White's g-pawn while his h-pawn promotes, or if 60 . Be2 Nxf4 61. Bf1, Black can win the bishop for the f-pawn, play ...Nh5-g3-e4 when any trade is hopeless, or even play the lengthy maneuver ...Nh5-g7-e8-c7-a6-b8-c6-d8-f7-xg5. Black's kingside pawns will then win the game of their own accord.

State Scholastic Championship Games

Continued from Page 1
Annotations that follow by Frisco Del Rosario.

Paul Richter scored 5-1 to share the title of state champion in the 9-12 Varsity section.
White: Daniel Liu (2036)
Black: Paul Richter (1798)
Sicilian Dragon

| 1. | e4 | c5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | Nf3 | d6 |

2. c3 and 2. b4 can be recommended as simpler moves that aim for $3 . d 4$.

## 2. ... d6 <br> 3. d4

One of the reasons I want to retire from chess teaching and writing is that it's depressing to watch players recite these moves with little or no understanding of them.

## 3. ... cxd4 <br> 4. Nxd4

White gained a little bit of time because his recapture brought a piece forward (4. Qxd4 gains even more time). Black lost a little bit of space because his advanced pawn disappeared. White's slight advantages in time and space are useful to masters.

## 4. ... Nf6 <br> 5. Nc 3 a 6

Chessplayers are slaves to fashion and marketing. I believe that the Dragon move $5 \ldots . . \mathrm{g}$ is
more popular than the Najdorf 5 ...a6 or the Scheveningen $5 . . . e 6$ because "dragon" is an evocative word, while people can't pronounce "Najdorf" or "Scheveningen".

## 6. Be2

White has tried about 20 different moves here. 6. Bg 5 was most popular through the " 6 os. Then Karpov made 6. Be2 fashionable in the ' 70 s. In the ' 80 s , the British grandmasters caused 6. Be3 to surge in popularity. Weak players all around the world embrace the trends, then blunder as soon as they have to think of a move on their own.
6. ... e5

Black equalizes the center space, at the cost of making d 5 and d6 weak squares. If Black can comfortably achieve the advance ...d5, that move will correct his serious pawn weakness while taking advantage in the center.

## 7. $\mathbf{N b 3}$

Preferred to 7. Nf3 for a number of reasons: one, the f-pawn is free to advance to $f 4$; two, the b3-knight helps White clamp down on the queenside with a4-a5; three, it's less likely that Black can bother the e4-pawn with ...Nbd7-c5; four, the knight screens the b2-pawn from an attack by ...Qb6.


## Best Games from the State Scholastic



9-12 Varsity co-champion Paul Richter. Shorman photo

## 9. Kh1 b5 <br> 10. a3

In the same position, grandmaster Slobodjan played 10. a4, which threatens something, and even provokes ...b4, while White in this game made a passive move to prevent ...b4 (Slobodjan-Gutman, German ch 1997).
10.
0-0
11. f4


## 11. ... Qc7

One of Black's chief positional ideas here is to knock out the white f4-pawn, then posting a knight on e5 (sometimes Black takes the risk ...h6 plus ...g5 to make that outpost). 11...Nbd7 is consistent with that theme.

## 12. Be3

Suppose White tried to exploit Black's imprecise move order with 12. fxe5. Then 12...dxe5 13. Qe1 Nbd7 14. Qg3 Kh8, and the pin on the e5-pawn enables White to maneuver $15 . \mathrm{Nd} 4$ plus Nf5.

## 12. ... Nbd7 <br> 13. Qe1 Bc4

Disrupts White's plan to play 14. Qg3 because 14...Bxe2 15. Nxe2 loses the e4-pawn.

## 14. Bd3

14. Rd1 Rac8 15. Nd2 Bxe2 16. Qxe2 is equal.

## 14. ... d5

Now the standard positional motif 14...exf4 15. Bxf4 Nxe5 threatens to win a pawn.

## 15. exd5 Bxd5

15...Nxd5 16. Bxc4 Nxe3 17. Qxe3 bxc4 18. Nd5 Qd6 19. Nxe7+ Qxe7 20. Na5 looks like an uneasy equality, where both sides have something to like on the queenside. White's pawns are together, but the b2-pawn is backward on an open file, and restrained by the c4-pawn.

## 16. Nxd5 Nxd5 <br> 17. Bd2

The higher-rated player might have avoided 17. Rd1 Nxe3 18. Qxe3 because an attack for White is not evident, and if the game moved into an ending, the opposite-colored bishops tend to make it most difficult for anyone to win.

```
17. ... Bd6
18. Bxh7+ Kxh7
19. Qe4+ f5
```

19...Kg8 20. Qxd5 Nf6 21. Qd3 e4 is a pawn sacrifice that ought to be considered. Black might regain his pawn on c2 or f4, while he has gained a passed pawn, and White's pieces are disorganized. 19...f5 does not give up a pawn, but it is a riskier move for reasons of king safety.

```
20
21. Rf3 Rae8
```



## 22. Rc3

22. Ba5 includes the benefit that a future Rd1 will cooperate with the queen on the d-file. White is doing well with 22. Ba5 Qb8 (22...Nb6 23. Rh3+ Kg6 24. Qd4 is even better) 23. Rd1 Rf6.
```
22. ... Qb8
23. Rh3+ Kg6
```

Given the exposure of the black king, it ought to be a promising position for White, but the b3-knight and a1-rook are out of play. 24. Nd4 is sensible, while 24. Bb4 Bxb4 25. axb4 looks to improve the rook (26. Rxa6 is not a threat for the vulnerable back rank.)

## 24. Bxf4

It's hard to like this move, which doesn't improve White's inactive pieces, unblocks the black bishop, and dissipates White's pressure on the d-file. White was probably thinking that he was coercing an exchange before emerging with an extra pawn.

```
24. ... Bxf4
25. Qxd7 Qe5
```

The first of two strong major piece moves. Black's lead in mobility means that White's king is less secure than Black's.
26. Rf1 Rh8
27. Rxh8 Rxh8
28. Qc6+ Kg5
29. h3 Qe2

Threatening 30...Rxh3+!.

## 30. Rg1

30. Qf3 Rxh3+! shows the white queen is overworked.

## 30. <br> Bg3

By blocking the g-file, Black solves the problem of gxh3 discovering a check.

```
31. Ra1 Rxh3+
32. Kg1 Qf2#
    0-1
```

Colin Chow scored 5-1 to share the 4-6 Varsity championship.

White: Joshua Cao (1797)
Black: Colin Chow (1787)
Benoni Defense

| 1. | d4 | Nf6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | $c 4$ | $c 5$ |
| 3. | d5 |  |

3. e3 and 3. Nf3 are reasonable, but less ambitious.

## 3. ... d6

Black will play ...d6 in every flavor of Benoni, eventually. At the moment, it's more challenging to play $3 \ldots$...b5 or $3 \ldots$ e..e6, which force White to make some decisions.

| 4. | Nc 3 | g 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5. | e 4 | Bg 7 |
| 6. | Nf 3 |  |

White's long-term chances usually rest with the advances f2-f4 plus e4-e5, so some prefer 6. Bd3 plus 7. Nge2, leaving the f-pawn unblocked.

| 6. | .. | Qa5 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 7. | Bd3 | b 5 |
| 8. | cxb5 | a 6 |
| 9. | $0-0$ | $0-0$ |
| 10. | $\operatorname{Re} 1$ |  |

White ensures that if his queenside minors get involved in a skirmish on b5, his e4-pawn is guarded. 10. a4 and 10. Bd2 could be better moves - anticipating a fight on b5, while discovered attacks appear on the horizon.

| 10. | .. | axb5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11. | Bxb5 | Ba6 |
| 12. | a4 | Nbd7 |
| 13. | Bg5 |  |

That bishop probably belongs on d2, threatening a discovery, and if White finds it useful to challenge the g7-bishop, then Bd2-c3 is made possible.
13. ... Rfd8


## 14. Bxd7

14 Qc2 is an appropriate move, while 14. Bxd7 feels wrong. For starters, the bishop is a more valuable piece. Second, in these Benko/Benonitype positions, d 3 and c4 are dream squares for black pieces - Bxd7 takes one of the defenders of those squares off the board. Third, Black gains a bit of time with his rook, which can swing next to b7 to bear on the backward pawn.

## 14. <br> Rxd7

Black would have preferred to retake with ...Nxd7 (on the way to e5), but his knight is pinned.

## 15. e5 Ne8

$15 . . . \mathrm{Ng}_{4}$ is much more in tune with the spirit of the opening. In the Benoni/Benko structures, e5 is an ideal square for a black knight, from which the knight can jump to attack on d3 or c4.

## 16. e6 fxe6 <br> 17. Rxe6 Nf6

The counterattack 17. ... Rb8 is indicated, and then 18. Bxe7 Rxb2, when Black would very much like to have his knight on g4 instead of e8.

## State Scholastic Games, Continued



4-6 Varsity co-champion Colin Chow.
Photo courtesy of Chow family


## 20. h3

20. Nd2 forces the bishop back to a6 because 20...Bxd5 21. Bxf6! Bxe6 22. Qxe6+ Kf8 23. Bxg7+ Kxg7 24. Nf3 or 24. Nc4, and the holes show in Black's position.
21. Rab7

## 21. Rd2

Again 21. Nd2 to chase the bishop back, and then 21...Ba6 22. Qe3 Rxb2 23. Rxf6! is a painful surprise for Black.

## 21. ... h6?

22. Bh4?
23. Bxf6 plus 23. Qe4 forking, and White pulls further ahead.

## 22. ... Kh7 <br> 23. Qe3 Bb3 <br> 24. Nh2

A more direct way is 24 . Bxf6 Bxf6 25. Ne4, ignoring Black's threat. Then if 25 ...Bxa4 26. Qf4, and White will break through. White's central blockade on d5 and e6 has sealed off every Black piece but the f6bishop.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { 24. } & \ldots & \text { Qb4 } \\
\text { 25. } & \text { f4 } & \text { Nh5 }
\end{array}
$$



## 26. Bxe7

The drawback to "winning" the e7-pawn is that the black rooks that were trapped behind it are suddenly free to move on the rank. An unclear position arises from 26. Re4 Bd4 27. Rdxd4 cxd4 28. Rxd4 Qa5.

Bd4

Black emerges from a long scuffle with a winning position.
27. Rxd4 Qxd4
28. Bh4 Nxf4
29. Qxd4 cxd4
30. Ne4 Bxd5
31. Nf6+ Kg7
32. Re1 Rf7
33. Ne8+ Kf8
34. Nxd6 Rxb2
35. Nxf7 Rxg2+
36. Kf1 Bc4+
37. Re2 Bxe2+
38. Ke1 Kxf7

0-1

Neel Apte was also one of the 4-6 Varsity champions.
White: Neel Apte (1804) Black: Richard Yi (1727) Dutch Defense

1. d 4 f 5


## 2. $\mathbf{c 4}$

Kids today - who ought to be fearless - play like stodgy old men. The trouble is that they are trying to play "good chess" when they lack the technique and experience to play good chess - there's one old coach who wants his students to "play bad chess well".

There's a huge difference between "playing good chess" and "playing bad chess well". 2. c4 is a good move that tends to lead to dull positions. 2. e4 is a "bad" move - it loses a pawn, oh no - which forces White to play well to make up for it.
2. e4 is such a "good bad move" that Capablanca recommended it as the best move in the 1913 Havana tournament book. Two of Capablanca's most outstanding games were both played against the Dutch Defense - the enterprising 2. e4 vs. Masyutin at Kiev 1914, and the "good" 2. Nf3 plus 3. c4 vs. Tartakover at New York 1924.

I venture that if the student played through both of those masterpieces while guessing Capablanca's moves that the student would guess a higher percentage of moves correctly in the Masyutin game, and suggest that students take that as a hint.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { 2. } & \text { ‥ } & \text { Nf6 } \\
\text { 3. } & \text { Nc3 } & \text { g6 }
\end{array}
$$

Consider this typical King's Indian, where Black expends some effort in achieving the ...f7-f5 advance: 1. d4 Nf6 2. c4 g6 3. Nc3 Bg7 4. e4 d6 5. Nf3 O-O 6. Be2 e5 7. O-O Nc6 8. d5 Ne7 9. b4 Nh5 10. c5 f5. In the Leningrad Dutch, Black gets ...f5 in at move 1 - that would suggest that the Leningrad is pretty good, except that White gets the chance to play 2 . e4!.

| 4. | g 3 | Bg 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5. | Bg 2 | d 6 |
| 6. | $\mathrm{f4}$ |  |

Another way to restrain ...e5 is 6 . d5. Then after 6...e5 7 . dxe6

Nc6, White might aim at the weak white squares with 8 . Nh3 followed by Ng5 or Nf4.

## 6. ... 0-0 <br> 7. Nf3 Qe8

Playing ...Qe8 in preparation of ...e7-e5 is a standard maneuver in every flavor of the Dutch, but it makes less sense in the Leningrad setup because the g6-pawn blocks the queen's way to g6 or h5. ...c6, ...Qc7, ...Nbd7, ...e5 is desirable, but if White pokes at the white squares with moves like Qb3 and Ng 5 , then Black might go ...c6, ...Na6, ...Nc7, and then ...Qe8 fits.

| 8. | O-O | Nbd7 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 9. | $\mathrm{Nb5}$ | $\mathrm{Qd8}$ |
| 10. | Ng 5 | $\mathrm{Nb6}$ |
| 11. | c 5 | $\mathrm{Nbd5}$ |
| 12. | cxd6 | exd6 |

12. ... cxd6 13. Qb3 e6 keeps the b 3 -g8 diagonal closed and the d 5 -knight unpinned, therefore.

| 13. | Qb3 | c6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14. | Nc3 | Kh8 |
| 15. | Nxd5 | cxd5 |
| 16. | Bxd5 | Nxd5 |
| 17. | Qxd5 | Qf6 |
| 18. | e3 | Rb8 |
| 19. | Bd2 | h6 |
| 20. | Nf3 | Be6 |

For some reason, the players agreed in thinking that Black shouldn't play 21. Qxd6.

| 21. | Qb5 | Qf7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22. | b3 | Bd5 |
| 23. | Bb4 | Rbd8 |
| 24. | Rac1 | Bc6 |
| 25. | Qc4 | Qe8 |
| 26. | Qd3? | Bb5 |
| 27. | Qd2 | Bxf1 |
| 28. | Kxf1 | Rf7 |



## 29. Kf2 Rfd7

Black squanders most of his resources to guard one silly pawn, when his goal should be to activate his pieces. If Black finds himself playing ...d 5 , then the hole on e5 is the cost of doing Dutch business. For instance, 29. ... d5 prepares ...Rf6 plus ...Rc6. Then 30. Ne5 Bxe5 31. dxe5 Rc8 32. Rxc8 Qxc8 33. Qxd5 Rd7 is probably heading for a draw.

| 30. | Qc2 | Re7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31. | Qd2 | Red7 |
| 32. | Qc2 | Bf8? |
| 33. | d5 |  |

Black has bunched two rooks and one bishop behind the immobile pawn, while White can go about Nd4-e6.

| 33. | .. | Kh7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 34. | Nd4 | Bg7 |
| 35. | Ne6 | Rb8 |
| 36. | Qc4 | b5 |
| 37. | Qc2 |  |

Despite White's material disadvantage, his piece activity probably gives him the advantage after 37. Qc6 Rb6 38. Qc8 Rb8 39. Qxe8 Rxe8 40. Rc6.

## 37. ... Rb6

When Steinitz said a knight on K6 is like a bone in the

# Longest Weekend of the Chess Year 

throat, he was talking about positions like this. Black can't contest the c -file with ...Rd8-c8 or ...Rb7-c7 because of that e6knight.

| 38. | Ba5 | Rbb7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 39. | Qc6 | Bf6 |
| 40. | Bc7! | Qc8 |


41. Nf8+

An inexact move order. 41. Qxd7+ Qxd7 42. Nf8+ wins a whole rook.

## 41. <br> ... Qxf8 <br> 42. Qxd7+ Be7

Black clings to that dumb pawn as if it were keeping him afloat and not dragging him down. Maybe there is some fight in 42. ... Bg7 43. Qe6 (43. Qc6 Qf6 44. Rc2 Rxc7 45. Qxc7 Qa1 ) 43. ... Qf6 44. Qxf6 Bxf6 45. Bxd6 Kg8.

```
43. Qc6 Qc8
44. Bxd6 Qd7
45. Bxe7 Qxe7
46. d6 Qg7
47. Qxb7 1-0
```

Leyton Ho tied for first place in the K-3 Varsity championship.

White: Leyton Ho (1428)
Black: Josiah Stearman (1376)
Sicilian Accelerated Dragon

## 1. e4 c5

It is not the students to blame for such widespread cluelessness, it's the chess teachers who are peddling snake oil. Most chess teachers do not understand the basis for one's chess aptitude, which is pattern recognition: a player's ability to view a tactic or a structure, store it in memory, and recognize the same type of position when it arises again.
A chessplayer who understands that the biggest part of chess ability is pattern recognition will logically expose himself to as many patterns as he can. A competent chess teacher will drill the importance of pattern recognition into his students, but many chess teachers collect lesson fees for giving the children shiny objects - like a few moves from an openings manual.

| 2. | Nf3 | Nc6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3. | d 4 | cxd4 |
| 4. | Nxd4 | g6 |

In this accelerated form of Dragon, Black aims to achieve the equalizing ...d5 advance in one step instead of two.

| 5. | Be3 | Bg7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6. | $\mathrm{Nc3}$ | $\mathrm{Nf6}$ |
| 7. | $\mathrm{f3}$ | $\mathbf{0 - 0}$ |
| 8. | Bc4 | a6 |

Black might have stolen a pawn with 8...Qb6, threatening ...Qxb2 or ...Nxe4.

## 9. h4

Better is 9. Qd2.

| 9. | Be2 | h5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Unnecessary as a preparation for g2-g4. Black would not reply ...h5 xg 4 because that would enable White's h4-h5 advance.
10. ... d5

Good move.

## 11. Nxc6

Black was threatening 12... dxe4 13. fxe4 Nxe4.

| 11. | $\ldots$ | bxc6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12. | e5 | Nd7 |
| 13. | f4 | Rb8 |

14. Rb1

A waste of a rook. 14. Na4 enables the rook free to play actively. So does 14 . b3, but White might have fretted about 14...Qa5.

| 14. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15. | b3 | Nb6 |

White felt more sure of b3 after Black blocked his queen's line to a5.
15.
f6
A double-edged move. Black wins control of the center, but undoes his king shelter.

## 16. exf6

Had White moved a piece to d4 to guard e5, then Black would play 16 ...fxe5 plus $17 . .$.
Qc7.

| 16. | ... | Bxf6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17. | Bd4 | e5 |
| 18. | Bxe5 | Bxh4+ |
| 19. | Kf1 | Rb7 |



## 20. Bxh5 Qg5

Black can accept the piece sacrifice, but his king position hangs by a thread: 20. ... gxh5 21. Qxh5 Bf6.

## 21. Bxg6

White would've done better by breaking the pin on the f-file by 21. Bf3 or 21. Qf3, but it's easy to say that while sitting in a coffeeshop with no chess clock ticking.
21. ... Qg3

Black loses time and material with this move. The position is still wildly unclear after 21. ... Qxe5 22. Rxh4 Qxc3 23. Qh5 Qg7.

## 22. Qf3 Qxg6 <br> 23. Rxh4 Kf7

A curious aspect of this move is that it blocks both of Black's rooks. 23 ...Rh7 is a better move.

## 24. Qh5

White should consider his king to be much safer than Black's, and leave the queens on the board for the attack. White is winning after 24 . f5 or 24 . Re1.

| 24. | ... | Qxh5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 25. | Rxh5 | Ke8 |
| 26. | $\operatorname{Re} 1$ | Kd8 |

26...Re7 is a more active move. White can effect the swap of a rook with 27 . Bd6, but it's hard to judge whether to simplify or complicate in an endgame with opposite-colored bishops. Those are always difficult.

## 27. Bd6 Rff7

27. ... Rg8 gives both black rooks the most scope, while restraining the white g-pawn.

## 28. Ne2 Rh7

Black's pieces are so tangled that 28 ...Rh7 seems to be directed at exchanging in order to give himself some room to wiggle.

## 29. Rxh7 Rxh7



## 30. Nd4 Kd7

30...Bd7 plus $31 \ldots . . \mathrm{Nc} 8$ is a better way to unravel the black mess.

## 31. Bc5 Na8

31...Kc7 must be preferable.

## 32. Re6 Bb7

At move 28, Black's task was untangling his pieces. That problem was worsened after White cornered his knight and placed his bishop behind three pawns.

```
33. Rd6+ Kc7
34. Ne6+ Kb8
35. Rd8+ Bc8
```



1-3 Varsity co-champion Leyton Ho.

Photo courtesy of Ho family

## 36. Bd6+ Nc7

36...Kb7 37. Nc5 wins.

## 37. Nxc7 Rxc7

White can't be stopped from reducing to a pure pawn endgame, the simplest type of ending.

| 38. | Ke2 | Kb7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 39. | Bxc7 | Kxc7 |
| 40. | Rxc8+ | Kxc8 |
| 41. | f5 | Kd8 |
| 42. | g4 | Ke7 |
| 43. | g5 | Kf7 |
| 44. | g6+ | Kf6 |
| 45. | Kd3 | $c 5$ |
| 46. | $c 4$ | d 4 |
| 47. | $\mathrm{b4}$ | $\mathrm{cxb4}$ |
| 48. | Kxd4 | a 5 |
| 49. | Kc5 | $\mathrm{a4}$ |
| 50. | Kxb4 | a 3 |
| 51. | $c 5$ | $1-0$ |

Armaan Kalyanpur shared 1st place in the 4-5 Varsity championship.

# Organizer Pleased to Bring State Championship Back to Santa Clara 

White: Udit Iyengar (1691)
Black: Armaan Kalyanpur (1514) Four Knights Game

| 1. | e 4 | e 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | Bc 4 | Nf 6 |
| 3. | Nf 3 |  |

Good move. Maybe White has spent some time with NM Eric Schiller, who advises 3...Nxe4 4. Nc3! with great practical chances.
3. ... Nc6
4. Nc3
4. d 4 and 4. Ng 5 are both preferable, because they are attacking moves. White might have played 4. Nc3 in the hopes of 4...Nxe4 5. O-O! (5. Nxe4 d5 is equal), but sometimes opponents aren't smart enough to fall for a good trick.
4. ... Bc5

A most lifeless position. Black should play 4...Nxe4, aiming for equality after $5 . \mathrm{Nxe} 4 \mathrm{~d} 5$. But if White played 5. O-O! instead, then Black should head into 5...Nxc3 6. dxc3, and rely on his tactical sense to see him through. This is why it's vital to be better at tactics than the opponents - the better attacker player is also a better defender.

| 5. | $\mathrm{O}-0$ | d 6 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 6. | h 3 | Be 6 |
| 7. | Bb 3 | Qd 7 |
| 8. | Ng 5 | h 6 |
| 9. | $\mathrm{Nxe6}$ | fxe6 |
| 10. | d 3 | $\mathrm{O}-0-0$ |
| 11. | Be 3 | Bd 4 |
| 12. | Bxd4 | exd4 |

13. Ne2 e5
14. f4 Na 5
15. f5 Nxb3


## 16. axb 3

The players created an unbalanced position, which - starting with that limp position at move 5 - is an accomplishment.

| 16. | .. | a6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17. | Ng 3 | d 5 |
| 18. | $\operatorname{Re} 1$ | dxe 4 |
| 19. | dxe4 | h 5 |

The logical followup would have been 19...d3, undermining White's queenside pawn formation, and making space for Black to encroach on the d-file.

## 20. Qd3

White saw the value in Black's ...d4-d3 advance, so shut it off.

| 20. | ... | h4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21. | Nf1 | Nh5 |
| 22. | Ra5 | Qe7 |
| 23. | Qf3 | Nf4 |

Putting ...d4-d3 back in the picture.

## 24. Rd1 Qd6

25. Nd2

At moves 19 and 24, White showed his awareness of ...d4d3, so it's hard to explain what he might have been thinking here.

| 25. | .. | d3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26. | Nc4 | Qd4+ |
| 27. | Kh1 |  |

It was necessary to play 27. Kh2 so ...c2-c1 wouldn't come with check.

| 27. | ... | dxc2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28. | Rf1 | Qd1 |
| 29. | Ra1 |  |

The only move.

| 29. | .. | Qxf3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30. | gxf3 | Ne2 |
| 31. | Rfe1 | c1=Q |
| 32. | Raxc1 | Nxc1 |
| 33. | Rxc1 | Rhe8 |

Black is threatening to invade with ...Rd3. The weakness in White's pawn formations further shows the strength of 26...d3.

## 34. Nb6+

White's best chance was probably 34. Rg1. In any endgame with rooks, get an attacking rook.

| 34. | ... | Kb8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 35. | Nd5 | C6 |
| 36. | Nc3 | Rd2 |
| 37. | Rg1 |  |

White's a move behind where he would've been with 34. Rg1. Black is already in.

| 37. | ... | Rxb2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 38. | Rxg7 | Rxb3 |
| 39. | Na4 | Rd8 |

Very good. Black sees that playing for mate will get the white rook off the board, at least.
40. Nc5 Rb1+
41. Rg1 Rdd1
42. Rxd1 Rxd1+
43. Kg2 Kc8
44. f4

White's best chance is to bring his e-pawn into the mix.

```
44.
... exf4
45. f6 f3+
```

45. ... Kd8 46. Nxb7+ Ke8 47.

Kf3 Kf7 48. e5 Rd5 49. Kxf4 Ke6
50. Nd6 Rxd6 51. exd6 Kxf6 -+.
46. Kf2 Kd8
47. Nxb7+Ke8
48. Nc5 Kf7
49. Nxa6 Kxf6
50. Kxf3 Rg1
51. Nb4 c5
52. Nd5+ Ke5
53. Ne7 Rg3+
54. Kf2 Kxe4
55. Nc6 Rxh3
56. $\quad \mathbf{K g} 2 \quad \mathrm{Rg} 3+$
57. Kh2 c4
58. Nb4 c3
59. Nc2 Kd3
60. Nb4+ Kd2

0-1


4-5 Varsity co-champion Armaan Kalyanpur.

# CalChess State Scholastic Championship Prizewinners 

9-12 Varsity
1 Paul Richter
2 Andrew Yeh
3 Samuel Sevian
4 Michael Brown
5 Jack Zhu
6 Brian Wai
7 Arthur Liou
8 Benjamin Tong
9 Samuel Bekker
10 Daniel Liu
11 Andrew Chen
12 Kartik Chillakanti
13 Charles Sun
14 Hayk Manvelyan
15 Ojas Chinchwadkar
16 Kesav Viswanadha
17 Ted Xiao
18 Mukund Chillakanti
19 Aditya Kumar
20 Harsha Nukala
21 Kevin Garbe
22 Arun Giridharan
23 Sudarsha Seshadri
9-12 Varsity Team
1 Saratoga
2 Mission San Jose
3 Dougherty Valley
9-12 Jr. Varsity
1 Kevin Lin
2 Deva Borthwick
3 Ketan Kapre
4 Benny Shin
5 Navaneeth Kumaran

Anthony Chan<br>7 Anton Dresler<br>8 Prithvi Akella<br>9 Scott Lee<br>10 Amrith Deepak<br>11 Stuart Yee<br>12 Jimmy Tran<br>13 Iverson Chan<br>9-12 Jr. Varsity Team<br>\section*{Chaboya}<br>2 Evergreen Valley<br>3 Palo Alto<br>7-8 Varsity<br>1 James Kwok<br>2 Edward Li<br>3 Navee Janarthanan<br>4 Thomas Gonda III<br>5 Taylor McCreary<br>6 Roland Zhu<br>7 Aamir Ali Azhar<br>8 Tudor Muntean<br>9 Art Zhao<br>10 Audrey Jin<br>11 Theo Caen<br>12 Eric L Chen<br>13 Hwai-ray Tung<br>14 Alekhya Nandula<br>15 Nathanie Varghese<br>16 Evan John Howard<br>17 Surya Ram<br>18 Aaron Wong<br>19 Arvind Sankar<br>20 Prem Talwai<br>21 Ryan W Wong

22 Andrew Peng
23 Tyler Leswing
24 Max Feng
25 Jerry Wu
26 Warren Tian

## 7-8 Varsity Team

1 Hopkins
2 St. Marks
3 Egan
7-8 Jr. Varsity
1 Tiffany Wu
2 Adam Jay Zorin
3 Steele Langland
4 Telvin Zhong
5 Hilarie Sit
6 Jordan Langland
7 Andrew Couse
8 Yousef Azhar
9 Auston Lee
10 Valentine Mestman
11 Sabrina Moha Rafi
12 Avish Chakrabarty
13 Brian Ho
14 Sabrina Leung
15 Patrick Dong
16 Morte Rohaninejad
17 Akhil Ganti
18 Mosta Rohaninejad
19 Darshan Patil
20 Jason Chen
21 Rohith Krishna
22 Aaron Wong
23 Jacky Lau
24 Suleyman Saib

## Cal Chess State Scholastic Prizewinners

| 1 | 7-8 Jr. Varsity Team Egan |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Art Frieler |
| 3 | Miller |
|  | 4-6 Varsity |
| 1 | Neel Apte |
| 2 | Colin Chow |
| 3 | Kyle Shin |
| 4 | Tanuj Vasudeva |
| 5 | Joshua Cao |
| 6 | Daniel Ho |
| 7 | Leland Yu |
| 8 | Hunter Klotz-Burwell |
| 9 | Richard Yi |
| 10 | Joel Alcaraz |
| 11 | Emily Zhu |
| 12 | Sergey Gasparyan |
| 13 | Alvin Kong |
| 14 | Kory Hui |
| 15 | Russell Bik |
| 16 | Michael Lei Wang |
| 17 | Miranda Christ |
| 18 | Jack Hirsch |
| 19 | Sharvil Kekre |
| 20 | Justin Wang |
| 21 | Srinath Goli |
| 22 | Andy Caen |
| 23 | John Guiragossian |
| 24 | Nikhil Jaha |
| 25 | Austin Cheng |
| 26 | Levi Ormonde |
| 27 | Amarinder Chahal |
| 28 | Aditya Kaushik |
| 29 | Brian Chan |
| 30 | Vedaank Tiwari 4-6 Varsity Team |
| 1 | Weibel |
| 2 | Mission San Jose 4-6 Jr. Varsity |
| 1 | Nathan Auyoung |
| 2 | Joshua Robles |
| 3 | Vasu Gupta |
| 4 | Katelyn Loredo |
| 5 | Clayton Walker |
| 6 | Sei Chang |
| 7 | Andrew Wan |
| 8 | Brian Walker |
| 9 | Ritaank Tiwari |
| 10 | Nilesh Gupta |
| 11 | Albert Sui |
| 12 | Adam Stafford |
| 13 | Harsh Harpalani |
| 14 | Nathan Yuen |
| 15 | Eric Liu |
| 16 | Sangeetha Bharath |
| 17 | Suneet Bhandari |
| 18 | David Harler |
| 19 | Gunnar Black |
| 20 | Benjamin Wang |
| 21 | Ryan Chiang |
| 22 | Jed Faalam |
| 23 | Benjamin Gu Chen |
| 24 | Tebrez Khan |
| 25 | Ashritha Eswaran |
| 26 | Varun Anand |
| 27 | Te Veeramacheneni |


| 28 | Mahim Chillakanti | 28 | Chris D Breeze |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | Goutham Yegappan | 29 | Vaseem Shah |
| 30 | Luke Bugbee | 30 | Seleem Hussein Shaalan |
| 31 | Ujwal Aluru | 31 | Joel Aguilar |
|  | 4-6 Jr. Varsity Team | 32 | Mark Brent Larochelle |
| 1 | Weibel | 33 | Nachiket Ingle |
| 2 | MCDS | 34 | Eric Hou |
| 3 | TL Reedley 4-6 Under 600 | 1 | 4-6 Unrated Team <br> Fldomi |
| 1 | Liam McCormick | 2 | Mission San Jose |
| 2 | Victor Cheng | 3 | Eisenhower |
| 3 | John Yao |  | 4-5 Varsity |
| 4 | Pran Senthilkumar | 1 | Cameron Wheeler |
| 5 | Kaelin Stock | 2 | Allan Beilin |
| 6 | Felix Li | 3 | Rahul Ram Mohan |
| 7 | Robert Shi | 4 | Armaan Kalyanpur |
| 8 | Jahnavi Rati | 5 | Alex Yin |
| 9 | Ariya Olaee | 6 | Jeffrey Tao |
| 10 | Shubham Gupta | 7 | Udit Iyengar |
| 11 | Austin Cheng | 8 | Kevin Moy |
| 12 | Sarv Mayilvahanan | 9 | Matt Stecklow |
| 13 | Andrew Chen | 10 | Pranav Srihari |
| 14 | Leonardo Tse | 11 | Shalin Shah |
| 15 | John M Fitzgerald | 12 | Aadeesh Shastry |
| 16 | Marshall Hatfield | 13 | Leo Kitano |
| 17 | Tumend Tugsjargal | 14 | Brett M Wong |
| 18 | Parsa Ganjooi | 15 | Siddharth G Banik |
| 19 | Michael Byun | 16 | Pranav Nagarajan |
| 20 | Benjamin Pearson | 17 | Bryan Huang |
| 21 | Vallab Karanam | 18 | Erik Wong |
| 22 | Anthony Thom Laus | 19 | Mick Peterson |
| 23 | Colin M Davis | 20 | Steven Yuan |
| 24 | Brandon Ch Trahms | 21 | Andrew Lin |
| 25 | Seth Castro | 22 | Aaron Ge |
|  | 4-6 Under 600 Team | 23 | Julie Yeung |
| 1 | Warm Springs | 24 | Abhishek Handigol |
| 2 | Weibel | 25 | Vigne Varadarajan |
| 3 | Bel Aire |  | 1-3 Varsity |
|  | 4-6 Unrated | 1 | Michael Wang |
| 1 | Jeffrey Wei | 2 | Leyton Ho |
| 2 | Sayan Das | 3 | Rayan Taghizadeh |
| 3 | Daniel Nisenzon | 4 | Evan Baldonado |
| 4 | Wesley Hardy | 5 | Charles Lin |
| 5 | Emily Reynoso | 6 | John L Canessa |
| 6 | Marissa Joan Plante | 7 | Josiah P Stearman |
| 7 | Donald L Hollars | 8 | Arhant Katare |
| 8 | Andy Cai | 9 | Rahul Swaminathan |
| 9 | Shomil Jain | 10 | Akash Thiagarajan |
| 10 | Sascha Scheidegger | 11 | Seaver Dahlgren |
| 11 | Paolo Puharic | 12 | Solomon Ge |
| 12 | Srik Venkataraman | 13 | Lawrence Wong |
| 13 | Kyle Zhang | 14 | Om Chinchwadkar |
| 14 | Alexander Trenton Lam | 15 | Zachary P Ravel |
| 15 | Manu Ritvij Saravanan | 16 | Drake Lin |
| 16 | Aaron Schultz | 17 | William J Li |
| 17 | Spencer Gilson | 18 | Anthony Zhou |
| 18 | Geoffrey Glass | 19 | Amit Sant |
| 19 | Maxime Raymond Oget | 20 | Gia Peterson |
| 20 | Joshua Christopher Wang |  | 1-3 Varsity Team |
| 21 | Tej Gokhale | 1 | Mission San Jose |
| 22 | Brandon Cavestany | 2 | Weibel |
| 23 | Echo Sit | 3 | Duveneck |
| 24 | Ashwin Ranade |  | 1-3 Jr. Varsity |
| 25 | Jared Yee | 1 | Jimmy Schaffer |
| 26 | Hetav Gore | 2 | Nikhil Gupta |
| 27 | Alexander E Bates | 3 | Maximo T Tribuzio |

28 Chris D Breeze
29 Vaseem Shah
30 Seleem Hussein Shaalan
32 Mark Brent Larochelle
33 Nachiket Ingle
34 Eric Hou 4-6 Unrated Team
1 Eldomi
2 Mission San Jose
3 Eisenhower

4-5 Varsity

1 Cameron Wheeler
3 Rahul Ram Mohan
4 Armaan Kalyanpur
Alex Yin
7 Udit Iyenga
8 Kevin Moy
9 Matt Stecklow
10 Pranav Srihari
11 Shalin Shah
12 Aadeesh Shastry
13 Leo Kitano
14 Brett M Wong
Sidnarth Ganik
16 Pranav Nagarajan
Bryan Huang
19 Mick Peterson
20 Steven Yuan
21 Andrew Lin
Aaron Ge
24 Abhishek Handigol
25 Vigne Varadarajan
1-3 Varsity
Michael Wang
2 Leyton Ho
Rayan Taghizadeh

6 John L Canessa
7 Josiah P Stearman
8 Arhant Katare
9 Rahul Swaminathan
10 Akash Thiagarajan
11 Seaver Dahlgren
2 Solomon Ge
Lawrence Wong
Om Chinchwadkar
Zachary P Ravel
Drake Lin
William J Li
Anthony Zhou
Amit Sant
1-3 Varsity Team
Mission San Jose
Weibel
1-3 Jr. Varsity
2 Nikhil Gupta
Maximo T Tribuzio

| 4 | Selena Wong |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5 | Luke Zhao |
| 6 | Aaron Lin |
| 7 | Kasen Teoh |
| 8 | Divija Hasteer |
| 9 | Serafina Show |
| 10 | Phillip Chin |
| 11 | Austin Chen |
| 12 | Aditya Krishnan |
| 13 | Albert Hao |
| 14 | Sara Kaushik |
| 15 | Ridd Ranjithkumar |
| 16 | Charles Smith |
| 17 | Charlie Jones |
| 18 | Ian Matthew Wong |
| 19 | Saicha Bandikallu |
| 20 | Abtin Olaee |
| 21 | Andrew Xu |
| 22 | Joe Posthauer |
| 23 | Hari Kris Kumaran |
| 24 | Nichol Cardarelli |
| 25 | Vivek Iyer |
| 26 | Kaushi Shivakumar |
| 1 | 1-3 Jr. Varsity Team |
| 2 | Mission San Jose |
| 3 | Weibel |
| 2 | Dilworth |
| 1 | Avyay Varadarajan |
| 2 | Toby Frank |
| 3 | Albert Qiu |
| 4 | Nicholas Evenden |
| 5 | Andrew Ng |
| 6 | Brandon Chet Chan |
| 7 | Edward Liu |
| 8 | Benjamin Share |
| 9 | Ethan Vincei Kho |
| 10 | Devon Hu Whelpley |
| 11 | Haakon Black |
| 12 | Milena Mathew |
| 13 | Eric Chang |
| 14 | Rowen Barnes |
| 15 | John Walk O'Neill |
| 16 | Arvind Ragunathan |
| 17 | Maxwell Manning |
| 18 | Arunav Gupta |
| 19 | Andrew Paul |
| 20 | Daniel H Hwang |
| 21 | Arn Bhattacharjee |
| 1 | 1-3 Under 500 Team |
| 1 | Neil Cummins |
| 2 | Weibel |
| 3 | MCDS |
| 9 | Victor Shu |
| 2 | Jeremiah Rey Unrated |
| 3 | Emily Su |
| 5 | Aarthew S Sanien Sanchez |
| 11 | Christine Ma Mass |
| 12 | Ronit Pattanayak |
| 13 | Colin R Schultz |
| 14 | Nathan Huang |
| 15 | Gabriel Gordon-Berardi |
| 2 | Maximill \$p Gurevich |

4 Selena Wong
6 Aaron Lin
7 Kasen Teoh
8 Divija Hasteer
Serafina Show
11 Austin Chen
12 Aditya Krishnan
13 Albert Hao
14 Sara Kaushik
15 Ridd Ranjithkumar
16 Charles Smith
17 Charlie Jones
18 Ian Matthew Wong
9 Saicha Bandikallu
20 Abtin Olaee
21 Andrew Xu
22 Joe Posthauer
23 Hari Kris Kumaran
Nichol Cardareli
25 Vivek Iyer
Kaushi Shivakumar
1-3 Jr. Varsity Team
1 Mission San Jose
2 Weibel
Dilworth
1-3 Under 500
Avyay Varadarajan
Toby Frank
Abert Qiu
Andrew Ng
Brandon Chet Chan
Edward Liu
Benjamin Share
Ethan Vincei Kho
Devon Hu Whelpley
Haakon Black
Milena Mathew
Eric Chang
Rowen Barnes
John Walk O'Neill
Arvind Ragunathan
Maxwell Manning
Arunav Gupta
Daniel H Hwang
Arn Bhattacharjee
1-3 Under 500 Team
Neil Cummins
Weibel
1-3 Unrated
Jeremiah Reynoso
Matthew S Sanchez
Aaron Chen
Daniel Kass
David Zhang
Raymond Ji
Victor Shu
Emily Su
Christine Ma
R
Colin R Schutz
Gabriel Gordon-Berardi Maximill \$p Gurevich
Merryh
Eisenhower
Duveneck
Kindergarten

## Ben Rood

Evan Cutler Leach
Advait Budaraju
Soorya Kuppam
Josh Brettle
Gia Peterson
Antarish Rautela
Anish Iyyavoo
Beaumont Zhang
10 Nico Cas Tribuzio
11 Andrew J Warzecha
12 Preston Shaw
13 Arul Papneja
14 Austin Willis
15 Jayes Thiagarajan
16 Chinguun Bayaraa
17 Jack Chin
18 Rory Xiao
19 Jake Marshall
20 Cem Adatepe
21 Aaron Ng
22 Jack Albright
23 Zarek Syed Azam
24 Max Pflaging
25 Peter Matsakis
26 Anaiy Somalwar
27 Henry Huang
28 Edison Zhang
29 Arya Dodeja
30 Amy Chan
31 Shreyash Gupta
32 Jackson Gressler
33 Bryan Mathia Wong
34 Trisha Godara
35 Coby Wagonfeld
36 Nathan Ad Sacaria
37 Miles Frank
38 William Qiu
39 Amay Pai
40 Ashley Qiu
41 Michael Pflaging
42 Samuel Boucher
43 Evan Chen
44 Albert Shi
45 Aditya Arora
46 Andrew C Long
47 Brennan Sette
48 Eric Zhu
49 Allen Zichi Yan
50 William Fitzgerald
51 Alex W Wan
52 Sophia Alexandra Atwell
53 Jeffrey Liu
54 Ansh Gandhi
55 Armaan Gulati
56 Vandya Goel
57 Simran Mackrani
58 Jayani Peterson
59 Ryan Sheng

# Unusual Queen Smothering 

## Continued from Page 17

Familiar! After 6. Qf3 Nxc2+, Black won at move 29. OK, what is the briefest, sensible way to demonstrate this tactic?.

There's a clue - another recognizable pattern - in 4. fxe5 Ng 4 . A sharp opening is Lisitsin's gambit against the Dutch Defense:

| 1. | Nf 3 | $\mathrm{f5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | e 4 | fxe 4 |
| 3. | Ng 5 |  |

Same movement as in the Labahn-Kaminski game.
3. ... Nf6

In every game, White plays 4. d3 to attack the e4-pawn, and if $4 \ldots$..exd3, then 5 . Bxd3 aims at the sensitive white squares around the black king. No one has ever played:
4. Bb5


Threatening 5. Ne6!.

## Unusual Queen Smothering

It is critical to buy in to the idea that pattern recognition - the ability to memorize a pattern, then to recognize it at the board - is the biggest part of one's chess ability.

Learning a pattern in its barest form makes it easier to find in harder positions. For instance, learning this one first - 1. e4 g5 2. d4 f6 3. Qh5\# - helps in understanding the operation 1. d4 f5 2. h3 Nf6 3 . g4 fxg4 4. hxg4 Nxg4 5. Qd3 Nf6 6. Rxh7 1-0.

White: A.N. Other
Black: Mark Pifer
Falkbeer Countergambit

```
1. e4
e5
2. f4
```

White is not really threatening 3. fxe5 because 3...Qh4+ is trouble. By recognizing an unreal threat, Black is at liberty to play mostly as he likes, and it's a good idea to put two pawns in the center.

```
2. ... d5
3. exd5
```

The generally accepted move, but 3. Nf3 is an active piece move. An attacking piece move can almost always be preferred to a pawn move, especially a pawn move that doesn't help one's development.

## 3. ... e4

Marshall and Nimzovich dared 3...c6. After 4. dxc6, East

Bay master Pickler went further with 4 ...Bc5, offering a Danish Gambit as Black.

## 4. g3

If White is going to spend time on another pawn move, it should be 4. d3 to knock down the cramping pawn on e4.

## 4. ... Nf6 <br> 5. Nc3 Bb4

White is a move behind the sequence 1. e4 e5 2. f4 d 53 . exd5 e4 4. Nc3 Nf6 5. d3 Bb4, where 6 . Bd2 meets the excellent line-opening sacrifice 6... e3! In the position at hand, Black would be delighted by 6 . d3 o-0 7. Bd2 e3!.

## 6. Bg2 0-0 <br> 7. Nge2

Capturing on e4 would lose a piece.

## 7. ... Nxd5

Now 7. Bxe4 is an equal game.
8. Nxe4? Ne3!

0-1


That's not a trick one sees every day.

Bad Wildbad 1990
White: Lothar Vogt (2505)
Black: E. Bricard (2385)
Ruy Lopez Vinogradov

| 1. | e4 | e5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | Nf3 | Nc6 |

3. Bb 5
4. Bxc6 is not yet a mature threat because 4...dxc6 5. Nxe5 Qd4 regains the pawn while keeping the bishop pair. (The Ruy Lopez is a strong opening partly because Bxc6 eventually grows into a real threat.)

## 3. ... Qe7

$3 \ldots \mathrm{Qe} 7$ is a strikingly weird move because the queen was already defending the e5-pawn, albeit indirectly.

## 4. $\quad \mathbf{0 - O} \quad \mathbf{N d} 8$

The thinking behind 3...Qe7 and $4 \ldots \mathrm{Nd} 8$ is that Black avoided Bxc6, doubling his pawns, and he might build a sturdy fort with ...c6 plus ...d6. The idea appealed to IMs L. Day, D. Suttles, and K. Shirazi, all of whom liked to blaze odd opening trails.

## 5. d4 <br> f6

Just when you think there is nothing new under the sun, Bricard uncorked a novelty in the Vinogradov Lopez.
6. Nc3 Nf7
7. Be3

Vogt kept getting his pieces out, which works against most offbeat openings.
7. ... g6
8. dxe5

With advantages in development and king safety, White opens the position.
8. ... fxe5
9. Nd5 Qd8
10. Ng5 !

One possibility is 11. Nxf7 Kxf7 12. f4 with an attack.

## 10.

Ngh6?
White is also ahead after 10. ... Nxg5 11. Bxg5 Qxg5 12. Nxc7+.

## 11. Ne6! 1-0



Bath 1963
White: D.G. Levens
Black: Andrew Thomas
Ruy Lopez Cordel

| 1. | e4 | e5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | Nf3 | Nc6 |
| 3. | Bb5 | Bc5 |

Schliemann's move 3...f5 will always have followers, but it is logical to delay ...f5 until White plays c3, after which White can't answer ...f5 with the sound development Nc3. Capablanca interpolated 3...a6 4. Ba4 d6 and then 5 . c3 f5. In the Cordel variation, Black develops his bishop actively before ...f5.

[^0]4. c3 is more strongly indicated than usual because the bishop on c5 makes a target for White's d2-d4 advance.

## 4. ... f5

Among California masters, the Cordel line was played by D. Herscovici and A. Kobernat.

## 5. d4 exd4

5...fxe4 is better because Black captures into the center, whereas 5 ...exd4 enables White to capture into the center. G. Lee-D. Herscovici, LERA Memorial Day 1993 continued 5. ... fxe4 6. Bxc6 dxc6 7. Nxe5 Bd6, a configuration very much like the Queen Pawn Countergambit 1. e4 e5 2. Nf3 d5 3. Nxe5 Bd6 4. d4 dxe4.

## 6. cxd4 Bb4+ <br> 7. Nc3

7. Bd2 has more bite because it threatens to capture on b4.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 7. } & \text {.. } & \text { fxe4 } \\ \text { 8. } & \text { Ng5 } & \text { Nf6 }\end{array}$
Black's strategy was to gain center control by wiping out the e4-pawn. He must proceed logically with $8 . . . \mathrm{d} 5$.
$\begin{array}{cll}\text { 9. } & \text { d5 } & \text { Ne7? } \\ \text { 10. } & \text { Ne6! } & 1-0\end{array}$


Perhaps Pifer's Falkbeer miniature is the only instance of this pattern trapping a white queen, but Black won here also:
Berlin 1990
White: Wolfgang Labahn
Black: Marcin Kaminski (2315)
Sokolsky Opening

## 1. b4

When Capablanca played 1. b4, the greatest player of his time lost in 13 moves. It isn't as logical as, say, 1. b3. The first goal of the opening is to control the center, and 1. f4 2. Nf3 3. b3 4. Bb2 is a good shot at the black squares. But when White plays 1. b4, he has to cope right away with threatening moves like $1 . . . \mathrm{e} 5$ or even $1 . . . \mathrm{a} 5$.
1.
e5
2. Bb2

1. b4 holds some strange fascination for players rated 1400 . Some of them will play 2. a3, and then Black has the better of it after $2 \ldots . . \mathrm{d} 5$. The attacking developing move 2 . Bb2 is much better.

## 2. ... Bxb4

Black is willing to swap his center pawn for the wing pawn because after 3. Bxe5 Nf6, Black can gain some time with ...Nc6 or ...Nbd7.

## 3. f4 Nf6

White hinted at 3. ... exf4 4. Bxg7 Qh4+ 5. g3 fxg3 6. Bg2 gxh2+ 7. Kf1 hxg1=Q+8. Kxg1 Qg5 9. Bxh8, where White has active pieces.

## 4. fxe5 Ng4 <br> 5. e4 Ne3

Go back to Page 15

## Capablanca: The Late Period

## Second to Keres at Margate 1939

## By Frisco Del Rosario

Capablanca's games at age 13 have been my models since I was a kid, but his most powerful games from the 1920s seemed beyond my grasp. The games he played at the end of his career had no effect on me at all.
My old teacher said I might change my mind about the late period, but I disagreed. The music and movies I liked when I was a teenager are still my favorite - why wouldn't I prefer the same chess games?

While I was writing Capablanca: A Primer of Checkmate, I reviewed Capa's late games just to be thorough. I glanced at Capablanca-Mikenas, Buenos Aires olympiad 1939, on a Monday. For some reason, I thought on Tuesday that I should look again.
In Capablanca's last years, the fire of a young master's combinations is gone. His avoidance of complications - which his detractors always hated - seemed to be more important than ever, because a difficult chess game would get in the way of a good nap.
At Margate 1939 - his final grandmaster round-robin Capablanca finished 2nd behind 23 -year-old Paul Keres. Keres was probably the strongest player in the world at that time. The year before, Keres won the AVRO tournament, which
should have given him a shot at a match with world champion Alekhine, but World War II was breaking out. The Soviets occupied Keres' homeland Estonia, disrupting match negotiations.

In tournament play, Keres beat every world champion from Capablanca to Fischer (with two draws against Karpov), but he never got to play for the world title.

Margate 1939
White: J.R. Capablanca
Black: R. Wheatcroft
Sicilian Defense

## 1. e4

Given these three primary positional concerns - center control, development, king safety - 1. e4 and 1. d4 do about the same for center control and development, but 1. e4 enables White to castle one move earlier.

## 1. ... c5

Whether Black plays symmetrically with $1 . . . e 5$ or unbalances the game with $1 \ldots . . c 5$, White can sooner or later gain a space advantage with d2-d4. When Black can play ...c5xd4, he's swapping a less valuable pawn-the disadvantage is that $1 . . . c 5$ does less for Black's development.

## 2. Ne 2

Philidor wrote in the 1750 s that we shouldn't block the
bishop pawns because they're so useful for center control. On 2. Nf3 d6 3. d4 cxd4 4. Nxd4, White gains space and time, and has unblocked the f-pawn, but at the cost of giving up center pawn for wing pawn. 2. Ne2 leaves the f-pawn free, while preserving the option of 3 . d4 cxd4 4. Nxd4. Mostly unhelpfully, Capablanca said 2. Ne2 was the best move against the Sicilian, but also said it wasn't important for us to know why.

## 2. ... d6

Black's desired move in the Sicilian is usually ...d5, but 2...d5 3. exd5 Nf6 4. d4 enables White a quicker development in a suddenly-open position (Keres-Opocensky, Prague 1943).

## 3. g3

White's offbeat 2. Ne2 meant the Moscow Variation - 3 . Bb5+ - is impossible, but that's all that was lost.

## 3. ... Nc6

Black's units cooperate on the dark squares, but his kingside development lags, which results in poorer king safety.

## 4. Bg2

Naturally.

## 4. ... g6

The white king bishop was hemmed in by the e2-knight, so it developed by fianchetto. The
black king bishop is blocked by three pawns, so it also fianchettos, in order to develop "outside the pawn chain".

## 5. c3

In event of d2-d4 c5xd4, White can recapture with a pawn. In the same spirit, 2. c3 is a good move against the Sicilian, but there White is undeveloped.

## 5. ... <br> Bg7

It's a little strange that even though all of Black's moves were designed to grab the central black squares, White has no difficulty achieving d2-d4.

## 6. d4

For getting two pawns into the center, the great chess teacher Purdy would say White has a leg up-in other words, advantage.

6. ... e5

A game from the 2007 Minnesota state championship began 1. e4 c5 2. Nf3 Nc6 3. d3 g6 4. g3 Bg7 5. Bg2 e5 6. Nbd2 Nge7 7. O-O O-O 8. c3 d6. The annotator wrote: "Arguably, the Botvinnik Triangle responds well to the King's Indian Attack". In the Minnesota game, White didn't play as actively
with his d-pawn. From d4, White can swap pawns, which shows Black's weakness on the d-file.

## 7. dxc5

On 7. dxe5, Black is not restricted to one recapture. Perhaps White saw some annoyance in $7 \ldots$...Nxe 5 followed by ...Bg4.

## 7. ... dxc5

Otherwise Black is a pawn down - maybe even two - for nothing.

## 8. 0-O

"Frisco Del Rosario describes and explains the great games of Capablanca in a very entertaining style." - GM Peter Biyiasas
From the back cover:
In this groundbreaking work, chess coach and author Frisco Del Rosario shines a long-overdue light on a neglected aspect of Capablanca's record: the attack against the enemy king. He illustrates how Capablanca used positional concepts to build up irresistible king hunts, embodying the principles of good play advocated by the unrivaled teacher, C.J.S. Purdy. The author also identifies an overlooked checkmate pattern - Capablanca's Mate - that aspiring attackers can add to the standard catalogue in Renaud and Kahn's The Art of the Checkmate.

The simplest developing move. If 8...Qxd1, then 9. Rxd1 gains time. The side who wins a materially-equal exchange is the side whose pieces develop as result of the recapture.

## 8. ... Be6

Black has the same notion -9. Qxd8 Rxd8 gains a move - but he's still neglecting the kingside.

## 9. Be3

Whenever possible, make a good developing move
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## Not the Seeds of Another Capa Book

which threatens something. Grandmaster Fine wrote that in regard to the opening, but it applies to the whole game.

## 9. <br> Qxd1

Black didn't like the looks of 9 ...b6 (9...Qb6 loses a pawn) 10. Qa4 Ne7 11. Rd1 Qc7 12. Na3 $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} 13$. Nb 5 , but in spite of the pressure Black feels on the dfile, the position is mostly equal.

## 10. Rxd1

White has gained time.

## 10. <br> b6

10...Bf8 is a rotten move. 10...c4 looks very bad, but White cannot immediately demonstrate that Black has overextended.

## 11. Na3

After 11. Nd2, there are no threats in sight, but there is some potential in 11. Na3 plus Nb 5 .

11. ... Rd8

If 11...a6, then 12. Rd6, and Black falls further behind in piece activity.

## 12. Nb5

White goes where the threats are. There's no hurry to move
the a1-rook - Black might play ...Rxd $1+$, and the queen rook activates for free.

## 12. ... Bc4

12...Rd7 was the best move. Then 13. Rxd7 Kxd7 14. Rd1+ Kc8, and White's still searching for something concrete. Instead, Black was attracted to the bishop fork.

## 13. Nc7+

The neat aspect of White's centralizing maneuver is that it enables him to double his rooks.

## 13. ... Ke7

13...Kf8 keeps the black rooks disconnected longer.

## 14. $\mathrm{Nd} 5+$

The first real evidence of the weak squares left in the wake of Botvinnik's pawn triangle.

## 14. ... Ke6

Not 14...Bxd5, for 15 . exd5 gives White a passed pawn while extending the range of White's suddenly-unopposed light bishop. If Black could complete his development in comfort, he might be pleased with his king activity.

## 15. Rd2

15. Bf3 isn't as mindful of the queen rook.

## 15. ... Nge7

15...Bxe2 doesn't disrupt White's development: 16. Rxe2 Nge7 17. Rd1. Terrible is $15 \ldots$ Nf6?? 16. Bh3+.

## 16. Nc7+

More precise than 16. Rad1.
Black can answer 16...f5, under-
mining the knight, and the black king has a better flight square with $17 . \mathrm{Nc} 7+\mathrm{Kf} 7$.

## 16. <br> Kf6

The only move.

## 17. Rad1

Threatens to move in strongly with 18. Rd6+.

17. ... Rxd2
17...Bxe2?? 18. Rd6+ Rxd6 19. Rxd6 is mate.

## 18. Rxd2

18. Bxd2 is a horrible blunder.

18...Bxe2 19. Rd6\# was still in the air. With $18 \ldots$ Rd8, Black thinks he has finally completed his development, and he even threatens to win a piece by 19...Rxd2 plus 20...Bxe2.

## 19. Rxd8

Removing the guard.

## 19. ... Nxd8

Resigning was acceptable.

## 20. Ne8+

A surprising fork.

## 1-0


[^0]:    4. c3
